

Applicant: Hannu Pullinen et al.  
Application No.: 10/534,445  
Response to Office action dated May 10, 2007  
Response filed August 8, 2007

### Remarks

Claims 12–20 remain pending in the application. In the Office action dated May 10, 2007, claims 12, 18, and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 7–11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pietikainen et al (WO 00/79049). Claims 13–17 and 19 were allowed.

Claims 7–12 have been canceled. Claims 18 and 20 have been amended based on the specification to refer to successive rolls. As noted in the specification, ¶ [0013] page 10 lines 8-10:


Each guide roll 32 consists of “sectional rolls”, which are formed by short successive guide rolls mounted in the same frame and having identical diameters.

In the context of the specification the meaning of successive rolls is clear. The specification has been amended to add a call-out number 9 to the short successive rolls. The drawings have been amended to add a new figure illustrating a guide roll 32 formed of short successive rolls of the same diameter. <http://www.answers.com/sectional&r=67> provides a definition of *sectional*: *Composed of or divided into component sections*.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this amendment.

Applicant submits that the claims, as amended, are in condition for allowance. Favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick J. G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934  
Attorney for Applicant  
Stiennon & Stiennon  
P.O. Box 1667  
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667  
(608) 250-4870  
Amdt2.res

August 8, 2007 (9:21am)